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#### Abstract

We have witnessed unprecedented changes in the industrial world with the advent of computers and the field of manufacturing is no exception. With the boom of microcomputers, their usage in manufacturing systems was realized at every level - from the shop floor level to the administrative and management layers. This paper deals with the software development issues that a software engineer has to take into account when analyzing, designing, and implementing software for manufacturing systems. Two important criteria that one has to consider are the real-time requirements and the device independent abstractions that such software has to provide to the end-user, since it is reasonable to expect an end-user to know very little about the software intricacies. Two specific aspects of manufacturing software are discussed in detail here. The first part discusses a language with an object oriented flavor for programming manufacturing systems. In particular, some of the design aspects and implementation issues are discussed. The other part describes the networking issues that are specific to the manufacturing environment. A prototype manufacturing system developed as a part of the project is used as a model to explain the various concepts and issues. A detailed description of the new language,


 Cell Programming Language ( CPL ), developed for the prototype is also included.
## 1.Introduction

Manufacturing, which dates backs to the Stone Age, has evolved from an ad hoc and imprecise technique to a very sophisticated and mathematically sound engineering discipline. The reasons for this are twofold: 1. increasing need to produce goods which are of high quality, 2. need to optimize cost, effort, and time. These criteria point unanimously in one direction - Automation. In addition to eliminating the human component from repetitive task execution, automation also ensures repeatability which is very crucial to promoting quality and maintaining it in a consistent manner. It also helps in enforcing high standards of quality by rigorous specification of the requirements which can then be built into automated tools. In the past two decades or so, the approaches to automation itself have undergone tremendous changes. Simple automated manufacturing systems like copy lathes gave way to machines with hard-wired logic circuits, which took input in form of simple instructions and executed them faithfully and consistently. These machines which came into being in the early and mid-70's, used to employ a programmable
controller and are commonly referred to as numerically controlled machines for their ability to read in commands in the form of numbers and other symbolic representations. The significant feature, which was clearly a drawback, was the fact that most of the logic and control had to be hard-wired into the controller unit, thus leaving very little room for flexibility in control and management of the machines. This realization resulted in a totally new approach, involving computer-based control, commonly known as Computerized Numerical Control (CNC) of manufacturing systems. Even though computers were in use prior to this period, their prohibitive costs made it almost impossible to incorporate them into the manufacturing environment. It was the boom of mini and micro computers which triggered this revolution and made it possible to overcome the drawbacks associated with numerically controlled (NC) systems. The advantages realized by such an approach are [1]:

1. An increase in flexibility,
2. A reduction in the complexity of the hardware circuits, as well as the availability of automatic diagnostics programs, brings a subsequent need for fewer maintenance personnel,
3. A reduction in inaccuracies in manufacturing due to a reduced use of the tape reader,
4. An improvement in the possibilities for correcting errors in part programs - the editing feature,
5. The possibility of using the computer's peripheral equipment for debugging the edited part program; e.g., a plotter can be utilized for drawing the shape of the part.

The other significant aspect of the usage of computer-based control was the ability to establish reliable communication channels between various manufacturing entities through computers which could be networked together. In fact, this approach could be extended to include the design and management components of manufacturing systems to create integrated design and manufacturing environments also known as Computer Aided Design and Manufacturing Systems (CAD/CAM ).

With this overview about the manufacturing world today, it is now time to look at the implications of such a revolution on the software, hardware, and networking requirements from the point of view of
software engineering and computer science, which is the domain that our work lies in. The foremost realization is the stringent requirement on the timely execution of commands and instructions which clearly forces the software and the hardware into the real time domain. A specific instance of such a software design which highlights real time factors is the development of a software based robot controller [2]. In addition, the life cycle for such software is more task oriented as opposed to being data oriented [3].

In the network sub-component, time constraints become extremely crucial when process control has to be performed remotely, i.e., from a computer that is not directly wired into the manufacturing cell. This not only puts a demand on the software development at the application level, but also poses strict real time requirements at the low-level software managing the network.

Another feature inherent to the manufacturing environment is the lack of a common programming syntax for programmable manufacturing standards. Lack of such standards makes programming such devices in their native programming syntax very cumbersome and annoying. In addition, the primitive nature of instructions, which comprise a set of primitive opcodes and operands, does very little to alleviate the burden of programming.

The aforementioned aspects form the central core of the project which are discussed in detail in the following sections.

### 1.1.Description of the Problem and Motivation for the Project

The Computer Integrated Manufacturing Lab at Miami University, which is used for teaching undergraduate lab courses in the Manufacturing Engineering curriculum, lacked an environment that could group the various disparate units like the machining centers, conveyors, robots, and material storage and retrieval systems into independent programmable flexible manufacturing cells. In other words, there was no common software development platform on which students could easily program these various machines in a high level language, thus making it unnecessary to know the specific commands for each of the machines. Further, the existing engineering design software had to be incorporated into this platform so that a prototype Computer Integrated Manufacturing (and design) environment (CIM) could be developed. This was the practical motivation. The theoretical motivation was to test the object-oriented paradigm to develop software for a such a system and to test the suitability of the same to real-time application development in general. We also believed that this approach could easily accommodate changes
in the system when new components were added or existing specification of the machines were changed [4].

With this in mind, we set out to define the problem domain for which we could develop a solution, which in turn, could be extrapolated to accommodate further changes and enhancements in the problem domain. The domain thus comprised the following physical entities:
6. A CNC machining center with a pneumatically controlled chuck,
7. A spatial robot with three degrees of freedom which accepted commands from a programmable linear controller,
8. A conveyor system,
9. Various electro-pneumatic actuators and feedback devices like the photo sensitive and limit switches,
10. A set of stand alone personal computers,
11. Some graphics and engineering design software running on the PCs mentioned above, and
12. A RISC machine which could act as a server for a Local Area Network (LAN).

### 1.2.Outline of the Paper

This project was pursued by two graduate students working along with three faculty members. Two distinct phases were recognized for the given task. The first one dealt with the language semantics and design issues and the second one dealt with networking issues. Other aspects that were recognized along the way are discussed in detail in the section on future directions.

Section two discusses the salient features of the o-o paradigm and in particular its suitability to manufacturing systems software design as well as its drawbacks. Issues like device independent software and maintenance are also discussed. The remainder of the section deals with the real-time issues pertaining to the manufacturing environment which in turn expose the limitations of this approach.

In the third section, description and implementation aspects of the CPL and the associate translation tools are discussed. The development of these tools was also done in an object-oriented manner. Im-
plementation of real-time constraints, though modest in number, are also explained. Limitations of the system in the real-time domain are also be outlined in the section dealing with summary and conclusions.

The fourth section discusses the network design including LAN topology and the protocols adopted for communication between the computers. The network-based tools developed for remote monitoring, as well as data communication functions of the network are discussed in detail.

This paper concludes with a summary of our experiences and an outline of the limitations of the present configuration. Following this, future directions are discussed as an aid to other students who may be interested in pursuing this project further.

## 2.Object-Oriented Methodology and Manufacturing Systems

The important requirements in designing software fo computer-controlled manufacturing systems are :
13. To provide a common platform across various manufacturing peripherals and
14. To hide the physical details of these peripherals from the end user as far as possible and at the same time, make the software independent of the actual peripherals that it represents or operates.

It is interesting to look at the second requirement in detail. To remove the physical details of the device from the user's view means that the language should specify generic actions. Consider an example: start lathe. This command does not specify how to start the lathe, but just specifies an action to be performed on the lathe. It does not specify whether a solenoid switch has to be tripped or a hydraulic switch has to be turned on. Thus, by providing such an instruction, we have created an abstraction of the act of switching on a device. The latter part of the requirement seems to contradict the first one in that, once we provide a implementation-independent abstraction to the end-user, should we not handle the imple-mentation-specifics within the software. This apparent problem can be very easily circumvented by moving those details into the hardware of the computer that controls the switches and actuators of the various manufacturing paraphernalia. To decide what the hardware should handle and what the software should is a non-trivial issue in the design of real-time system development [5].

The need for a common platform and device-independent software calls for an approach which can map entities into the problem domain to the software while hiding the actual representational details of the same. Also, we desire to have a platform that can span different components of manufacturing thus providing a truly integrated environment [9]. Assuming that this can be done, the next choice is to decide which of the three approaches - process-driven, data-driven, object-oriented, or a mix of the two. Pro-cess-driven approach, although sufficient, does not do a good job of providing a good abstraction of the system on the software level to the user. Data-driven approach is not suitable at all since data do not form the core of the system. Real-time command execution and data-acquisition form the central theme of these systems. The o-o paradigm fits into this situation very well for three main reasons:

1. It provides a very nice abstraction (by virtue of class concept and data encapsulation) of the manufacturing peripheral it represents by incorporating the data (that the peripheral would manipulate) and actions (that it can perform for the external user) into a class,
2. Additions or modifications made to the existing set up can be very easily incorporated into the software with practically no change to user-level abstraction, as long as the access interface remains unchanged, and
3. A homogeneous interface can be built to encompass all the sub-components of manufacturing with such an approach.

These reasons are discussed in more detail in the next section. Justification for this approach is also discussed in [9].

### 2.1.Application of the O-O Approach to Design of Software for Manufacturing Systems

It is important to ask ourselves whether all manufacturing is object-oriented. This question is well addressed by the table below [6].

| Manufacturing Objectives | Object-Oriented Premises |
| :---: | :---: |
| Production of concrete, well defined, repeat- <br> able, interchangeable parts and products. | Focuses all objects and their attributes, ap- <br> plying classification structures, encapsula- <br> tion, and uniform representation. |
| Manufacturing by process and assembly |  |
| plans |  | Applies assembly structures and high-level | abstraction |
| :---: |


| Based on methods to define process opera- <br> tions and manufacturing services. | Methods define operations and services by <br> objects. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Complex product, process and facility are <br> designed by their elements. | Specifications of complex systems by their <br> elementary object components. |
| Combines information and material process- <br> ing to create end-products. | The O-O model combines the data and pro- <br> cess model |
| Depends on systematic and consistent plans. |  |
| Consistent, systematic representation of real- <br> ity. |  |
| Communication and integration among mul- <br> tiple enterprise functions. | Communication by messages, polymorphism, <br> and corresponding operations. |
| Culture of clear, ordered, and stable pro- <br> cesses and procedures. | Model stability, clarity, and flexibility by <br> minimal dependency between objects. |
| Performance: Maximum profit; minimum <br> cost; rapid adaptation to change; quality. | Allows changes with minimum rewrite of <br> code, minimum errors, improved manage- <br> ment of complexity, and increased program- <br> mer productivity. |

Table 2.1.1: The affinity between manufacturing and object-orientation

It should be noted here that the above table draws parallels of which only a few are relevant to this project. Nevertheless, this table does imply that o-o paradigm can be successfully used to develop an integrated manufacturing software environment that effectively encompasses the various components of a manufacturing system. This is pictorially depicted in the figure below[6].


Figure 2.1.1: Scope of object-oriented manufacturing

These illustrations strongly indicate that manufacturing can be very closely modelled using the O-O approach. Additional material on this topic can be found in [7], [8], and [9]. The advantages of such an approach are summarized below [6]:

1. The entities in the abstract domain (i.e, class objects ) have a natural one-to-one corresspondence with the entities in the physical world,
2. Modularity is inherent to this approach which has a very significant implication. With very little programming effort, the control software can be easily adapted to different environments,
3. Software development task itself can be simplified by dividing the effort among team members. Specific tasks need to be allocated by just specifying the abstract objects, leaving the implementation details to the particular member developing the software.

Unfortunately, this approach does not come without drawbacks. Recalling the real time constraints that have been outlined in the previous sections, some of the features of the o-o paradigm that make it very powerful turn out to be serious bottlenecks during implementation of o-o based software for manufacturing systems [10]. In the aforementioned reference, the author gives a detailed explanation about the drawbacks of such an approach. Since manufacturing systems are inherently distributed, remote object invocation feature is necessary which the present implementations of the paradigm do not support [6]. These features need to be built into the system using networking toolkits which in turn adds more time overhead to the over all real-time response. Nevertheless, it is important to note that the overhead of distributed support results in additional overhead due to message passing, which can deteriorate the performance further.

Another issue that is of importance in such an environment is the need for shared memory. In the manufacturing environment, it is common for two software processes to communicate with each other via a common memory area in the computer. In order to ensure consistency of information in such memory locations, it is important that at most one process access it at anytime. To achieve this the lan-
guage needs to provide features like semaphores and at this point no implementation of the o-o paradigm supports such a construct.

The other disadvantage that directly stems from the "power" of o-o paradigm is the overhead associated with polymorphism and dynamic binding. These features require the run-time environment o determine the specific method that needs to be invoked rather than determining it at compile time. This results in very high run-time costs that can seriously impede the real-time constraints. This is of crucial importance to hard real time systems since it can produce incorrect results in such systems. Soft real time systems ${ }^{1}$ are less susceptible to such phenomena but, nevertheless, output could still be degraded [5]. Languages supporting those features also lack built-in support for process synchronization [6].

The drawbacks that we mentioned earlier can be overcome in part by having efficient hardware. In fact, we all hope that the emerging technology and future capabilities will solve this problem or at least provide a way to compensate for it.

## 3.Design and Development Cell Programming Language (CPL) and Associated Tools

It may be recalled from the requirements of a language for manufacturing environment that it has to provide a good abstraction of the physical devices and their activities that it represents and also be device independent. The language presented here, despite being independent of the specific details of the physical entities that it represents, is to a certain degree dependent on the hardware that provides the interface to the manufacturing devices. This still maintains the device independence since the exact details of manipulating the different peripherals is within the hardware and external electro-mechanical interfacing equipment.

On the other hand, the user now has to configure the hardware interfaces through software by using specific configuration commands that the language provides. These will be explained in more detail in the next section. It is important know that this dependency is inherent and hence unavoidable. One possibility to hide these details would be to hardcode these details into the translation tools for CPL, but this would introduce a certain amount of inflexibility and in turn make the language device dependent. So it has to be remembered that we are maintaining a delicate balance between device independent $a b-$ straction at the user level and the device-independent nature of the language itself.

1. Hard real time systems are those that have very stringent response requirements. Soft real time systems, on the other hand, can handle some amount of delay.

### 3.1.A Formal Description of Cell Programming Language

The formal specification of the grammar of CPL is included in appendix A. A CPL program consists of four major sections:

1. Port Declarations: Used to identify hardware interface ports.
2. Devices Declarations: To identify different manufacturing peripherals within a cell, and to assign ports and associate bits with these devices
3. Cell Declarations: Used to declare the network address of the cell controlling computers.
4. Procedure statements: Commands to execute manufacturing, monitoring, and feedback instructions.

### 3.1.1.Port Declarations

The port declaration section is used to assign a physical port address on the PC. The declarations are made within a Ports....End block. Following the key word Ports is a series of individual port declarations. Its syntax is as shown below:

```
<Port_|dentifier> (<Port_address> <direction>) | (<baudrate> <data_bits>
    <stop_bits> <parity>);
```

The Port_Identifier can be any alpha-numeric character string to identify a port with a user-defined name. Underscores may be used for forming descriptive identifiers. The port_address should be a valid hardware address that corresponds to an actual physical port in the computer hardware. It is this port that actually provides the interface to the physical domain, i.e., various manufacturing components. The direction indicates whether the port is used to input information or output information. Output drives some physical devices and input gets feedback or status information that is used to decide the course of future action. The default mode is input.

If the other specification is used, it implies the identification of a serial communication port that is usually used to downloading information to the external devices, i.e., sending commands to a lathe in its primitive instruction format or download a file consisting of similar instructions. It should be noted that these commands can be separately generated by other CAD/CAM software and then stored in files which can be accessed by the CPL programs written by the end-user. Thus the end-user can use these files without having to deal with the low-level instructions directly and thus maintaining the abstraction outlined in the earlier sections. Another type of port that is used in this set-up is the printer port which
can be implicitly addressed by the individual instructions. The reason for the lack of formal specification of such a port is simply because there is no formal setting of parameters to be performed when dealing with them. An sample piece of code to illustrate the use of these instructions is given below.

```
Ports
    PortA 64259 Output;
    PortB 64256 Input;
    PortC 64257;
    CommPort1 3600711;
End
```


### 3.1.2.Device Declarations

In this section, each device in the cell is associated with a bit on a port. These devices have to be one of the device_type types which are defined as a part of the data type subset of the language. These predefined types correspond to physical entities in the cell. Any new entities can be easily incorporated into the language by virtue of the modular structure that the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ approach supports. The syntax for such a declaration is as follows:

```
<device_variable> <device_type> (<port_variable> [<bit_number>]) |
    <programmable_port>;
```

The declaration block is bound by the key words Devices and End. The <device_variable> is a user-defined alphanumeric string with possible underscores separating the individual characters in the strings. The <device_type > is one of the pre-defined key words which corressponds to a actual physical device. The <port_variable> is a label associated with a physical port that is assumed to have been declared previously in the port declaration section. The <bit_number> field is a numeric value between 0 and 7 which corressponds to a physical bit on the communication port. It is this bit that controls the manufacturing device, partly or wholly. In cases where this bit is associated with input, its state represents the state of the device associated with it. The example below illustrates the syntax of this declaration.

| PalletLiftUp | pulse | PortC 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conveyor | Coil | PortC 5 |
| Robot | Programmable LPT1 |  |

End

The table below lists the device types and their associated functions.

| Device Type | Valid Functions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Coil | On, Off |
| Sensor | Waiton, Waitoff |
| Pulse | Strobe |
| Programmable | Send, Do |
| Wait | milliseconds |

Table 3.1.2.1: Device functions
It is worthwhile to note that the programmable device type represents the class of manufacturing devices that can be programmed by a set of primitive instructions that are unique to the particular device class. It is in fact this type which hides this detail away from the user.

### 3.1.3.Cell Declarations

This subset of declarations associates each manufacturing cell with a computer which controls the functioning of that particular cell. This is done to facilitate inter-cell communication through the underlying computer network. The syntax is shown below.
<cell_variable> <computer_id>
Here <cell_variable> associates a manufacturing cell with a character string. The terminal <computer_id> is a network address that uniquely identifies a computer on the network. Addresses can be either Internet addresses or names that uniquely map to an Internet address. Two examples below explain the syntax. These declarations are contained within the Cells...End block. The experimental CIM configuration that we have developed as a part of this project has one cell at present. However, additional cells can be easily added to this.

```
Cells
```

| Cell1 | Cell1_Comp |
| :--- | :--- |
| StorageCell | 134.53 .32 .240 |

End

### 3.1.4.Procedure statements

A CPL file comprises the following units:

1. Procedures: Contains the instruction sequence to control and operate cell.
2. Program: Collection of procedures.

The syntax for procedure statements is shown below:
<device_variable> . (<device_function> [ ( parameter (...\})]) | <delay_time>
<device_variable> is an identifier previously declared in the device declaration section. The <device_function > is a key word defined in the language. The function(s) associated with each device are listed in table 3.1.2.1. All statements are within a Procedure...End block. The following is an example of the syntax for procedure statements.

```
Procedure
            Conveyor.On;
                Robot.Send ("NT");
                Lathe.Do(MachinePart);
                Delay. }50
End;
```

Here again we notice the implementation-independent syntax ( and semantics ) of the instructions. The final "container" for all the language entities described earlier is the program construct. This is essentially a collection of statements that invoke procedures which are assumed to have been declared before. This approach enforces a modular structure to the programs, thus enhancing readability and promoting ease of development and maintenance. As before, all program statements are enclosed by Program...End block. The syntax of a program statement is as follows:

```
<procedure_name>.<cell_name> [(<condition>|<no_of_iterations>)];
```

One important observation about this syntax is the presence of an optional clause that, in effect, permits repetition. This can be explicitly specified by number of iterations or a condition so that repetition occurs as long as the boolean value of the expression remains unchanged. This condition is a special one in that it refers to a signal from a cell controlling computer. The idea behind this can be explained by a simple example. Consider a series of cells along a manufacturing line for cars. Let us assume that two adjacent cells machine the engine block. Further, let us assume that the first cell performs a milling operation on the engine block and the subsequent one does a finishing operation on the blocks. Now, we would like the second cell to perform its operations as long as there are parts arriving from its successor cell. Another way of stating this is to say that this cell repeats its functions as long as the previous cell is up and running. It is interesting to note that this approach blends well with the declarative style of program-
ming in which we specify what we want as opposed to how to do it. In other words, this is is yet another instance of the abstraction that we have been constantly emphasizing [11], [12]. At this point this feature is a part of the language definition, but the present version of its implementation does not support it. A final example illustrates this syntax of the program statement.

Program

> MachinePart.EngineBlockCell; *This is a comment!
> StoreParts.StorageCell (ManufacturingCell.SignalOn) ;
> StoreParts.RetrieveParts $(50)$;

End
The above example illustrates the way in which comments are inserted. In CPL, every statement except block marker sends with a semicolon. An example of a CPL program is included in the appendix B. A copy of the user manual can be found in appendix C .

### 3.2.Construction of the Interpreter for Executing CPL

The development of tools to translate and execute CPL instructions was done in two phases. In the first phase, all the CPL code is compiled to produce an intermediate representation, which we called 1 -code representation. This representation resembles any standard assembler syntax. The reader is urged to refer to appendix D for a sample of this representation. In the second phase, the I-code file is interpreted and commands are generated for the hardware interface which controlled the manufacturing cell. The reason for this two-tiered approach can now be seen in the light of CNC approach which was discussed in the introduction. It is the interpreter which provides the control of the cell (and hence the peripherals that make up the cell), to the computer controlling it. Thus have we moved the control from the device controllers up into the software layers. This enhances the flexible nature of the manufacturing system in that it lets us control the functioning during the actual execution of the instruction. The development of the tools for the first phase of the translation, i.e., the compiler and the cross-reference listing generator was taken up by another graduate student [13]. The figure below summarizes these two stages of language


Table 3.2.1: Two stage CPL language translation process

### 3.2.1.Functional Description of the CPL Interpreter

The interpreter serves the following services:

1. It enforces control of the manufacturing cell in the controlling computer by executing one instruction at a time.
2. It optionally allows user interaction and thus passes the control to the user level. This is done by providing execution under step $(-s)$ mode. This mode outputs the CPL command and waits for the user prompt before executing the corresponding set of low-level instructions. In other words, the interpreter "steps" through instructions one at a time in an asynchronous fashion. This option also allows provides runtime debugging facilities.
3. An option to trace $(-t)$ is also provided to enable the user to associate each CPL instructions with the actual physical action that the instruction represents. This is provided to make the environment more user-friendly.

With these specification of functional requirements, the next step was to design the structure of the interpreter. It was decided to separate the interpreter from the compiler component from a pure software engineering perspective. This would result in decoupling and thus code inter-dependencies would
be totally eliminated. It was again decided that the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ approach would be suitable for reasons already discussed in the previous sections of the paper. The design aspects are explained in the next section.

### 3.2.2.Design and Implementation of the Interpreter

The first step in the design process was to identify the tasks that the interpreter had to carry out. It should be recalled that the interpreter had to execute intermediate code. called $p$-code, that is output by the compiler which takes CPL source as input. With this in mind, five action primitives were recognized which are listed below:
(SetBit) Set or reset a bit on the $1 / O$ port.
(QueryWait) Wait for the system to enter a particular state.
(Wait) Wait unconditionally for a specified amount of time.
(SendString) Send a text string over an interface.
(Strobe) Send a strobed signal via the $1 / O$ port.

In addition, actions pertaining to setting up of the ports and debugging features were recognized. The actions listed above will be explained in detail now.

Every manufacturing device is associated with one or more bits on the I/O port, the number of bits being directly related to the number of abstract devices that a physical manufacturing device handles. For example, a CNC lathe would be considered equivalent to three logical devices. the first one to switch it on or off, the second one to toggle it into a mode to accept instructions via the serial interface, and the third one to control the opening and closing of the chuck (This is not entirely true since the chuck can be considered as an independent device and thus be decoupled from the lathe, which is yet another manifestation of the o-o paradigm that we have employed! ).

Often. it is necessary to wait for the system to enter a particular state before the next action can be taken. Consider another situation which employs the second action primitive to achieve this goal. Before the chuck can release the part that has just been machined by the lathe. it is very important that the robot grasps the part first, otherwise we will have the robot in a fairly embarrassing situation of not knowing how to get hold of the part! Therefore, the face saving measure here would be to wait until the robot has grasped the part with its gripper before the chuck can be asked to release the part. So by employing the QueryWait action primitive, it is possible to make the chuck wait till the robot grasps the part following
which it can safely release the part. This is illustrated by a generalized state diagram shown below.


Figure 3.2.2.1: State transition diagram for QueryWait action primitive
The third action primitive. Wait, is similar to the previous one but does not require the constraint of having to wait for the system to get into a particular state. This unconditional wait primitive allows the user to decide on the wait time between actions. Typically, this is useful when sending a sequence of port initializations with a fixed time interval between individual initialization. This is often necessary when a user wants to be sure that the system has reached a given state before taking the intended action.

The SendString primitive primarily addresses the issue of sending text strings to programmable devices such as robot and lathe over an appropriate interface. These strings are commands that the devices understand and execute. In fact, one of the aims of $C P L$ was to hide this relatively primitive set of commands from the end-user as far as possible. This primitive achieves this as follows: Files containing these commands are generated once for a particular setup of the cell and stored away in a database residing on the network server. (Details about the network are explained in section 4). By using the SendString primitive, the user can request the downloading of these programs to specific programmable devices.

The last primitive action, Strobe, is identical to SetBit except that this action sends a step input (a high followed by a low on the electrical interface ) to the I/O port. This action is to accommodate certain manufacturing devices that require a strobed input for activation or deactivation.

With this identification of tasks that the interpreter, the next step was to identify the data elements that would be necessary. In formal o-o terms, this meant the identification of the nouns as objects, the verbs being the verbs that have just been discussed. The inheritance structure is illustrated in appendix E. The code implementing the interpreter is included in appendix F .

## 4. Network based Tools for Management and Control of Manufacturing Cells

### 4.1.A Brief Introduction to Manufacturing Networks

The role of a computer based network is very critical to the functioning of a CIM setup. It is the network which provides means of communication between various entities, as well as integrate the design. manufacturing and management components successfully. So a reliable and a timely network is very essential in the CIM world. A hierarchical model for a network can be thought of comprising the following levels:

1. Factory level network.
2. Shop level network.
3. Cell level network.
4. Machine level network.
5. Sensor level network.

It is interesting to note the close correspondence of this hierarchy with the structured layers that $C P L$ provides. The performance variables for each of these layers can vary depending on the requirements of the network at each level. The same is true abut the topology of the network at each of these levels. The table below summarizes this information.

| Network level | Topology | Medium access <br> control | Performance <br> variables | Overall <br> functionality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factory | Partial <br> interconnections | Point to point | Throughput | High/Medium |
| Shop | Bus, Ring, Loop, <br> Star | Token passing, <br> Ethernet | Throughput | High |
| Cell | Bus, Ring, Loop, <br> Star | Token passing, <br> Ethernet | Response time, <br> Throughput | High |
| Machine | Bus | Token passing | Response time | Medium |
| Sensor | Bus | Polling, <br> Token passing | Response time | Low |

Table 4.1: Hierarchical network structure and performance variables for Manufacturing
As can be seen, the performance is measured by response time as we move down the hierarchy. This is consistent with the fact that the lower levels of the network hierarchy are working at the machine level, and hence the real-time performance of such networks would be of critical importance.

### 4.2.A Structured Approach for Designing Manufacturing Networks

There are a number steps involved in the design of a manufacturing network. The following are significant:

1. Selection of network architecture.
2. Design of a specific network topology.
3. Choosing specific implementations.
4. Development of a set of application requirements.
5. Translation of application requirements into a set of network requirements.
6. Design of application software.
7. Evaluation of the overall design.

The selection of an architecture depends largely on the functionality. Stringent real time requirements would imply a fast and a reliable network which is characteristic of lower two layers of the hierarchy listed before. As can be seen, Ethernet is not preferred in the lower levels, since its performance seems to degrade with increasing traffic [14]. Token bus architecture is preferred in these layers.

Topology is largely governed by the possibility of further expansion of the existing network. Whenever possibilities of expansion exist, a star topology is preferred since it is very easy to add additional nodes on such a setup. Referring to table 4.1, we see that star configuration is a topological option in the top three layers whereas it is absent in the lower ones. This is due to the fact that at the machine and the sensor levels, there is very little possibility of additions being made to the network.

Since there are many protocol and network implementations, network application designers must choose specific implementations so that they match the selected architecture, provide adequate performance, and easily interface with other devices used in the application. Factors affecting implementation include operating systems, programming languages. etc.

Definition of application requirements is a statement of what the manufacturing systems needs to deliver. This is done to extract the implications of such requirements on the performance of the network component of the manufacturing system.

Once the application requirements are clearly defined, the next stage would be to make some decisions about the network requirements. The important factors that come into play here are response time,
information throughput, medium type for all networks and subnetworks, tolerance of failures, software interface, etc.

Once the network requirements of the network are defined, the next step would be to design application software to run on such a network. These applications typically perform end user functions. A typical example in this category would be a set of software programs that remotely monitor the status of a cell. Another example could be software that manages a centralized database on the network.

Evaluation of the network is necessary to verify and validate the design. Typically, this can be performed using analytical models, simulation or measured data.

### 4.3.A Prototype Network for CIM Lab at Miami University

The network that was designed and installed at Miami university spanned the factory, and shop levels of the network hierarchy. As a result, a combination of bus and star topology was chosen. Since the traffic on the network was expected be low, an Ethernet was chosen. This also rendered the network compatible with the existing networks in the building as well as the networking software that is currently being used. The configuration of the network is shown in appendix G. A star topology using twisted pair cables was chosen at the cell shop level to accommodate for additional cells in the future and also allow the relocation of cells. A bus topology was employed to connect the computers that were identified to be used in the design and the analysis components of the CIM model.

### 4.4.Network Applications

The network applications that were developed were to add remote monitoring capabilities to the network so that an user could monitor the status of a cell from any computer that was on the network. The Remote Procedural Call toolkit was utilized for this purpose which provided some ready to use program shells that could be customized for specific applications.

The client server approach was taken to develop this application. It was decided that the client would run on the computer that also controlled the cell, along with the interpreter which drove the cell. This posed a problem since the operating system that runs on these computers does not have the capability to support multitasking. This shortcoming was overcome by using Terminate and Stay Resident (TSR) technique. This approach essentially hooks an application program to one of the system interrupts (like keyboard or timer interrupt) and activates the application whenever an interrupt is generated. It is up to the
application to take some action or pass the control over to the default interrupt handler. Thus, using this approach, the client program that monitors the status of the cell runs in the background and the interpreter runs in the foreground. The client monitors the status by observing any changes on the I/O port to which all the manufacturing peripherals are hooked. On the server side, a program that polls the network for updated information about the cell, is kept running. If any updated information is received, the display screen is updated to reflect these changes.

One interesting real time constraint emerged during the development of this application. The client that monitors the cell can be set to poll the system at fixed time intervals. If these time intervals are spaced too far apart, then it is possible to lose transitions that occur in the system between the two check points. For example, it is possible that the photo sensor detects a pallet, sets a bit on the I/O port, then resets it after the pallet passes, before the monitoring program checks the status again. This loss of information can result in inaccurate status information. On the other hand, polling the I/O port too often would degrade the performance of the interpreter and could the affect the performance of the cell. Thus, a trade off had to be made by trial and error to decide on an acceptable time interval between successive pollings. The code that implements the monitoring system is included in the appendix.

## 5.Summary and Future Directions

This project attempted to analyze the various software and computer requirements for a computer integrated manufacturing environment. The development of $C P L$ addressed the language issues and the present version of it has been successfully tested for correctness. Due to time and resource constraints, some issues could not be considered in this project. Firstly, a truly multitasking operating system with some real time capabilities is needed for a CIM environment. Presently, the operating system, DOS, supports single tasking only with practically no real time capabilities and this is a serious bottleneck in the system. CPL needs to be enhanced to support shared memory capability, thus allowing multiple processes to access a common memory area (which is necessary when two distinct processes coordinating with each other). Shared memory places additional burden on the application languages and the operating system. since now one has to make sure that memory updates by one process are not overwritten by the other processes. This needs memory lock mechanism like semaphores. As the number of cells on the shop floor increase, it is necessary to build in concurrency into the software system to allow for the network hierarchy
to be extended to the factory layer. This would enable a central computer to coordinate various cells operating in parallel. Another feature that is needed is priority scheduling of processes, and this needs to be passed on to the application layers since the user has control over assigning priorities to different processes.

Attempts have been made to preserve the declarative style of $C P L$ as far as possible. At this point, the language does not support constructs like if-then-else, repeat-until, while-do, etc. Although these constructs make a language more powerful, it should be noted that a certain amount of procedural flavor is introduced into the language. On further consideration, it seems that this is necessary to deal with real time constraints of the system. Another possibility can be recursion to provide declarative form of looping, but this generally degrades the performance at runtime. So it can be concluded that these constructs have to be incorporated at the cost of losing some of the declarative flavor of the language.

Another important issue that needs to be considered is the extension of the language to offer network support. This is necessary if the language needs to support multiple cell management in a network transparent way. This would make it unnecessary for the user to know if two processes are resident on the same machine or on different machines. This would offer a client-server model which can be conveniently used to implement such applications as remote monitoring applications. Also, this would make it possible to establish a homogeneous language interface across the design and manufacturing components of the CIM model since these components generally reside on different machines on the network.

The CIM model that has been developed does not yet incorporate an integrated user interface. which is necessary to provide a common software platform that spans the various stages of an integrated environment. This would provide a window-based, menu-driven interface to the user that could hide all the network details from the user and provide an easy to use interface.

In conclusion, CPL provides a platform to develop simple programs by the students intending use it. Peripherals can be added to and deleted from the cell very easily by incorporating appropriate device declarations. The interpreter is dependent on the hardware to a certain extent. but this unavoidable. Any new action primitives can be very easily added to it since its object-oriented nature makes it highly modular. The remote monitoring facility is very simple at this stage, but the basic framework has been established to facilitate further enhancements to it.
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## Appendix A <br> CPL Grammar

The Cell Programming Language is designed as a context-free grammar and uses the Backus Naur notation for expressing the syntax. The grammar is free of ambiguity at present but shall be corrected for any ambiguities detected henceforth.

CPL is described as a set of production rules, each production rule consisting of a left hand side and a right-hand side, separated by an assignment operator. An example of a production rule is given below.

```
Production Rule : An example
<expr>-> <expr> + tem>> | <expr> - <term> | <term>
<teml> -> 0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9
```

The left-hand side is always a non-terminal symbol. The right hand side may be a combination of non-terminals and terminals, only non-terminals, or only terminal symbols. The non-terminals are enclosed within the '<' and '>' symbols and the terminals are represented by constant values. The ${ }^{\prime}->$ 'string serves as the assignment operator. Optional symbols may be enclosed within square brackets or braces '[' and ']'. Parenthesis are used to specify grouping of symbols, and when more than one symbol, separated by commas, is enclosed within '\{' and '\}', it means that at least one of the symbols or a group of symbols must be present. For example, in line 16 of the CPL grammar, the device_data must either consist of the port_name followed by a valid_bit or just a predefined_port. The parenthesis around port_name and valid_data indicates that these two are grouped and hence they go together. In this grammar, the language keywords, alphabets, special ASCII characters and digits are the terminal symbols. Currently, the language provides for sequence and repetition constructs, but also leaves room for adding alternative constructs. The CPL production rules are as shown below.

## CPL Production Rules

| 1. <cpl_program> | $\rightarrow$ | PROGRAM < prog_name> < declarations > |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. <declarations> | $\rightarrow$ | [ < port_declarations > ] <device_declarations > < procedure_declarations > |
| 3. <port_declarations> | $\rightarrow$ | PORTS < port_stmntList > END |
| 4. < device_declarations> | $\rightarrow$ | DEVICES < device_stmntist > END |
| 5. <procedure_declarations> | $\rightarrow$ | PROCEDURE < procedure_stmntList > END |
| 6. <port_stmntList> | $\rightarrow$ | <port_stmnt> [ < port_stmntList>] |
| 7. <port_stmntList> | $\rightarrow$ | <port_stmnt> |


| 8. <port_stmnt> | $\rightarrow$ | < port_name> <port_address> < direction >; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. < port_name> | $\rightarrow$ | < identifier> |
| 10. <port_address > | $\rightarrow$ | <integer> |
| 11. < device_stmntList > | $\rightarrow$ | <device_stmnt> [ device_stmntList > ] |
| 12. <device_stmntList> | $\rightarrow$ | < device_stmnt> |
| 13. <device_stmnt> | $\rightarrow$ | <device_name> < device_type > < device_data > ; |
| 14. < device_name > | $\rightarrow$ | <identifier> |
| 15. <device_type> | $\rightarrow$ | PROGRAMMABLE \| < nonpgble_type> |
| 16. <device_data> | $\rightarrow$ | \{(<port_name> < valid_bit>), <predefined_port> \} |
| 17. <procedure_stmntList> | $\rightarrow$ | <procedure_stmnt > [ < procedure_stmntList > ] |
| 18. <procedure_stmntList> | $\rightarrow$ | <procedure_stmnt> |
| 19. <procedure_stmnt> | $\rightarrow$ | <sequence> \| <repetition> \| <alternation> |
| 20. < sequence> | $\rightarrow$ | $<$ device_name $>$ [ $<$ dev_func >]: |
| 21. <repetition> | $\rightarrow$ | DO < iterations > < procedure_stmt_list > END DO |
| 22. < dev_func> | $\rightarrow$ | < function> |
| 23. <function> | $\rightarrow$ | <pulse_func>\| < coil_func>|<sensor_func > | < programmable_func > | <wait_time > |
| 24. <pulse func> | $\rightarrow$ | . Strobe |
| 25. < coil_func> | $\rightarrow$ | - $\{$ On, OFF \} |
| 26. < sensor_func> | $\rightarrow$ | - \{ WaitOn, WaitOff \} |
| 27. <programmable_func> | $\rightarrow$ | ```. Send <open_parenthesis> {(<double_quote><string> <double_quote> ), <identifier> } <close_parenthesis >``` |
| 28. < wait_time> | $\rightarrow$ | - <integer> |
| 29. <direction> | $\rightarrow$ | [ INPUT] ] OUTPUT |
| 30. < nonpgble_type> | $\rightarrow$ | COILISENSOR\|PULSE|WAIT |
| 31.. < predefined_port > | $\rightarrow$ | LPT1:\|COM2: |
| 32. < valid_bit> | $\rightarrow$ | 0\|1|2|3|4|5|6|7 |
| 33. < iterations> | $\rightarrow$ | < integer> |
| 34. <identifier> | $\rightarrow$ | < string > |
| 35. < string> | $\rightarrow$ | < character > [ <character > ] |
| 36. < character > | $\rightarrow$ | <alphabet>\|<special_character>|<digit> |
| 37. <alphabet> | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{A}\|\mathrm{B}\| \mathrm{C}\|\mathrm{D}\| \mathrm{E}\|\mathrm{F}\| \mathrm{G}\|\mathrm{H}\| \mathrm{I}\|\mathrm{J}\| \mathrm{K}\|\mathrm{L}\| \mathrm{M}\|\mathrm{N}\| \mathrm{O}\|\mathrm{P}\| \mathrm{Q}\|\mathrm{R}\| \mathrm{S}\|\mathrm{T}\| \mathrm{U}\|\mathrm{V}\| \mathrm{W}\|\mathrm{X}\| \mathrm{Y}\|\mathrm{Z}\| \mathrm{a}\|\mathrm{b}\| \mathrm{c}\|\mathrm{d}\| \mathrm{e}\|\mathrm{f}\| \mathrm{g} \mid$ $\mathrm{h}\|\mathrm{i}\| \mathrm{j} / \mathrm{k}\|\mathrm{I}\| \mathrm{m}\|\mathrm{n}\| \mathrm{o}\|\mathrm{p}\| \mathrm{q}\|\mathrm{r}\| \mathrm{s}\|\mathrm{t}\| \mathrm{u}\|\mathrm{v}\| \mathrm{w}\|\mathrm{x}\| \mathrm{y} \mid \mathrm{z}$ |
| 38. < special_character> | $\rightarrow$ | ```<parenthesis>\| < braces> < < flower_bracket> < <math_operator>|! ~ |!|@|#|$| | ^|&|*|(|)|_|:|",|.|?|/小\'|;``` |
| 39. < double_quote> | $\rightarrow$ | " |
| 40. <parenthesis> | $\rightarrow$ | <open_parenthesis> \| <close_parenthesis> |
| 41. < braces> | $\rightarrow$ | <open_brace> \| <close_brace> |
| 42. < flower_bracket> | $\rightarrow$ | <open_flower_bracket> \| <close_flower_bracket> |
| 43. <open_parenthesis> | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |
| 44. <close_parenthesis> | $\rightarrow$ | ) |
| 45. <open_brace> | $\rightarrow$ | [ |
| 46. <close_brace> | $\rightarrow$ | [ |
| 47. <open_flower_bracket> | $\rightarrow$ | \{ |
| 48. < close_flower_bracket> | $\rightarrow$ | \} |
| 49. < math_operators> | $\rightarrow$ | +\|-|*| |
| 50. < integer> | $\rightarrow$ | <digit> < digit> $\}$ |
| 51. <digit> | $\rightarrow$ | $0\|1\| 2\|3\| 4\|5\| 6\|7\| 8 \mid 9$ |

# Appendix B CPL Source Program 

Ports /* Port declarations
PortC 64259 Output;PortA 64256 Input;
End
Devices /* Device declarationsPalletLiftUp Pulse PortC 4;Conveyor CoilPhotoCell SensorPortC 5;PortA 7;
PalletArrived Sensor PortA 6;ChuckOpen Pulse PortC 1;Robot Programmable LPT1;
LatheStart Pulse PortC 2:LatheStop Sensor PortA 4;PalletLifted Sensor PortA 5;
PalletStops ..... Coil
ChuckClose ..... PulsePalletLiftDownPulse PortC 6;
LatheRunning Sensor PortA 2;
LatheHandShk Sensor PortA 3:
Delay ..... Wait;
End
Procedure /* Device operationsRobot.Send("NT");
PalletStops.On;
Conveyor.On;
PhotoCell.WaitOn;
PalletStops.Off;
PalletArrived.WaitOn:
Delay.1000;
PalletLiftUp.Strobe;
Pallet.WaitOn;
Conveyor.Off:
ChuckOpen.Strobe;
Robot.Do(LoadPart);
Delay.1000;
ChuckClose.Strobe:
Delay.2000:
Robot.Do(MoveAway);
Delay. 2000;
LatheStart.Strobe;
LatheStop.WaitOff;
Robot.Do(MoveBack):
Delay.2000;
ChuckOpen.Strobe:
Delay. 2000;

Robot.Do(GetPart);
PalletStops.On:
PalletLiftDown.Strobe;
Conveyor.On;
Delay.500;
Conveyor.Off;
LatheStart.Strobe;
PalletStops.off;
End;

## Appendix C

## CPL Language Reference \& User Manual

## 1. Introduction

The Cell Programming Language (CPL) is a high-level special purpose language being developed at the Department of Systems Analysis at Miami University. This project is part of a larger project to design a computer aided manufacturing system. and support course work, projects, and research in Flexible Manufacturing.

### 1.1. What is CPL

CPL is a programming language environment for use in the control of manufacturing cells. Individual cell components and their operations can be integrated by programming the cell as a single unit. Programs to do this could be written in any other existing high-level language such as BASIC or C, but the user would have to be familiar with the syntax necessary to perform low-level input and output to the various hardware devices that provide the interface to the cell's devices. For example, the user would set a particular bit on a particular hardware port to 1 to turn on a device. Instead, CPL allows the user to program the cell by using commands such as On and Off, and the CPL system will take care of the low-level programming details.

CPL does not hide all of the hardware details. In order to use CPL, the user is still required to know the particular hardware device and bit to which each device is interfaced. Also, the user must know the type of device. Finally, individual cell components such as robots and CNC machines will have to be programmed in their host languages. One advantage, however, is that the programmer has full control of the operations, and can communicate with the individual devices even after the programs have been loaded into their memories.

### 1.2. The CPL Environment

The CPL environment consists of :

1. CAD/CAM workstations, a file server and peripherals;
2. A local area network;
3. Personal Computer (PC) controlled manufacturing cells;
4. Interfacing electronics between the PCs and the cell devices:
5. A programming language used to program the cells.

An overview of the environment can be found in the paper "Object-Oriented Flexible Manufacturing System at Miami University" in Appendix A of this document. The remainder of this document is devoted to the description of item 5 in the above list.

## 2. How CPL Works?

The CPL software consists of three major components:

1. CPL compiler;
2. CPL interpreter;
3. Remote status display.

The CPL compiler processes the user's CPL program along with any required robot and/or CNC command files to produce an intermediate file of instructions known as p-code. This p-code is the input to the interpreter which performs the low-level input/output operations on the cell controller PC. Thus, the compiler can be run on any PC or CAD/CAM workstation, but the interpreter must reside on the cell controlling computer. Once a CPL project has been compiled to p-code, it need not be recompiled unless a change is made in the CPL code. The machine command files serve only as input so any changes made to them will not affect the execution of the CPL program. A debugging option is provided in the interpreter which helps to eliminate CPL program errors.

The remote status display is an optional component of the system that can be used to remotely monitor the operation of the cell. The remote status display has a component, called the monitor, that runs on the cell controller, and a component, called the display, that runs on a remote PC , for example a CAD/CAM workstation. The monitor sends the state of each device to the display which in turn outputs the status to the user.

## 3. A CPL Project

Earlier it was stated that CPL is a language that allows the user to control and integrate devices of a manufacturing cell, but that the user is still required to program individual cells in their host languages. Thus, a CPL program would consist of:

1. A CPL program, and
2. Zero or more command files for programmable devices.

In managing a project, the user should keep all of the command files and the associated CPL language file together, ideally in a separate directory on a CAD/CAM workstation.

## 4. A CPL Program

A CPL program consists of five major sections: port declarations, device declarations. cell declarations, procedure declarations, and program declarations. The following subsections elaborate on each of these.

### 4.1. Data Structures in CPL

A CPL program has three major types

1. Ports: Used to name hardware interface ports.
2. Devices: Used to name individual cell devices, and assign ports and bit numbers
3. Cells: Used to declare network addresses of cell controlling computer.

In CPL, all data structures are composite data types and a declaration of a variable to be of a type also assigns values to the attributes of the type. So, a dynamic change in the value of a variable is not possible.

### 4.1.1. Port Declarations

The port declaration section is used to assign a physical port address on the PC. The declarations are made within a PORTS.... END block. Following the keyword PORTS is a series of individual port declarations. The syntax of a port declaration is as follows
< port_variable > (< port_address> < direction > ) $\mid$ < port_name > < baudrate > <data_bits> < stop_bits > < parity > ):
The port_variable can be any user defined identifier consisting of a maximum of 31 characters. The identifier can consist of alphabetic characters, digits and underscores upto a maximum of 31 characters. The port_address should be a physical port address and the direction is either INPUT or OUTPUT depending on whether the port is used to send or receive signals; the default direction is INPUT. If the port is a serial port, the port_name should be one of the serial ports COM1: or COM2: followed by the baudrate, number of data bits, number of stop bits, and the type of parity should be specified. An example port declaration section is given below.

Ports
PortA 64259 Output;
PortB 64256 Input;
PortC 64257;
Comlport COM1: 360071 1;
End

### 4.1.2. Device Declarations

The device declaration section is used to declare a device object and associate a port and bit number with it. The device types are predefined and correspond to the devices in the cell. We have not made provisions for including user-defined device types in the language, because at this juncture we do not anticipate such a need. The declaration block is bounded by the keywords DEVICES and END. The syntax for the device declaration is as follows.

```
<device_variable> <device_type> (< port_variable> [<bit_number> ])|< programmable_port> :
```

The device_variable is a user defined identifier and the device_type is a keyword in the language. The port_variable should have been defined earlier in the port declaration section, and the bit_number is a constant between 0 and 7 and corresponds to a bit on the data acquisition board. For a programmable device type, the port name LPT1 is specified if a parallel port is to be used, and the port identifier that has been assigned one of the serial ports COM1: or COM2: is specified if a serial port is to be used. An example device declaration section is
given below.
Devices

| PalletLiftup | Pulse $\quad$ PortC 4; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conveyor | Coil $\quad$ PortC 5; |
| Robot | Programmable LPTl; |
| Lathe | Programmable Comlport; |
| End |  |

### 4.1.3. Cell Declarations

The cell declaration section is used to assign network addresses to cell names in order to provide for communication between cells. Declaring cell names makes it convenient to assign a procedure to a cell, and facilitates modular programming at a small scale. The syntax of a cell declaration is as follows:
< cell_variable > < network_address>;
The cell_variable is like any other user defined identifier, and cannot exceed a maximum of 31 characters. The network_address is a pre-defined host name or network address that has been assigned to the cell controlling computer by the system administrator. An example of a cell declaration section is given below.

Cells

| ManufacCell | cimlab6; |
| :--- | :--- |
| StorageCell | 192.34.54.3; |

End

### 4.2. Control Constructs in CPL

A CPL program has two basic control constructs:
7. Procedures: Contains the sequence of cell control operations executed on devices
8. Program: Collection of procedures to be executed on cells

### 4.2.1. Procedure Declarations

The next section in the program is the procedure section which consists of statement constructs. Each statement represents one device operation and directly corresponds to an actual operation of the real device. The syntax of a procedure statement is as follows.

```
<device_variable > . (<device_function> [ <open_parenthesis > parameter { . . .}.<close_parenthesis > ])|
<delay time>
```

The device_variable is an identifier previously declared in the device declaration section. The device_function is predefined, and is a keyword in the language. Table 4.2.1 lists device types and valid functions for each device type. Function parameters are enclosed within parenthesis and are separated by commas. As with devices and ports, the keywords PROCEDURE and END mark the beginning and end of a procedure block. An example of the procedure section is given below.

## Procedure

Conveyor.On;
Robot.Send("NT");
Lathe.Do(Cutpart);
Delay. 1000;
End

TABLE 2.1

| TYPES | VALID FUNCTIONS |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| COIL | ON, OFF |
| SENSOR | WAITON, WAITOFF |
| PULSE | STROBE |
| PROGRAMMABLE | SEND, DO |
| DELAY | MILLISECONDS |
|  |  |

Students can program device objects with names that directly correspond to their realworld counterparts. The predefined device functions are named after the actual device operations. For e.g., a statement such as Conveyor. On is an instruction to switch on the conveyor and a statement such as PhotoCell.WaitOn is an instruction to wait for the photocell to be switched on. This way it is possible to write a program and visualize an entire production operation without actually performing it.

The user is, however, required to program a programmable device type using its host language. Commands to the PROGRAMMABLE device type can be given directly by passing them as parameters to a function or they can be stored in a separate file, and the file name passed as the parameter. For e.g., Robot is declared to be a programmable device, and the Send operation accepts a parameter which is a string and sends a command to the robot. The Do function on the other hand accepts an identifier that is the name of a file consisting of robot commands which are read and directly output to the robot.

### 4.2.2. Program Declaration

The program section is the last section in a CPL program, and is simply a series of statements arranged in a predetermined order by the programmer. Each procedure statement states what procedure is going to be executed on what cell, and specifies repetition clauses, if any. The program statements appear within a PROGRAM....END block. The syntax of a program statement is as follows:

[^0]The procedure_name is the name of a procedure that has been defined previously. Similarly the cell_name is also the name of a cell that has already been declared. Repetition clauses. if any, must be specified either as a condition, or as the number of repetition_times that a procedure is to be executed. These are enclosed within parentheses. An example program declaration is given below.

```
Program
    ManufacCell.ProduceBody;
    StorageCell.StoreParts (ManufacCell.SignalOn):
    ManufacCell.ProduceBody(50); * Repeat 50 times
    StorageCell.StoreParts(50);
```

End

In CPL, every statement excepting block markers end with a semicolon. The programmer may insert comments in the program by preceding the comment with an asterisk, which is the comment character. A valid program statement and a comment may be typed on the same line, but the comment should follow the program statement. The reverse is, however, not true, because all characters in a line following a comment character are ignored by the CPL compiler. The syntax of this language is kept simple and compact in order to make it more appealing to users. At the end of the compilation, a cross-reference output listing the cross referencing between various devices and ports is printed. Error handling is performed by an error object which prints out error messages with corresponding line numbers and error codes. An example of a complete CPL program is given in appendix E .

## 5. Using CPL

To invoke the CPL system from the network, type the command

## CPL drive pathname filename

The drive is the drive on which the input and program files are located. and the pathname is any absolute or relative DOS path specification. Absolute path specifications are given from the root directory and relative path names are given from the current directory. The filename is any combination of alphabetic characters, and the entire file specification should not exceed thirty characters.

The CPL program file can be edited using any standard editor, and should be stored as an ASCII text file. One advantage of structuring the CPL system as two independent components is that the execution is not tied up with the compilation process. To execute the CPL, program type the command

## CIMINT drive:pathname filename.

The CPL program can also be executed in the debug mode. To do this, type the command

## CIMINT -d drive pathname filename.

The debug mode will execute the program in steps and the user can trace through the program. This is especially useful for locating program errors.

## 6. CPL Errors

Errors in the program are detected by the compiler and displayed onto the screen at the end of the compilation. At this point errors are not output into a list file, but this feature may appear in future versions of the compiler. CPL compiler errors are of three types: Syntax Errors, Fatal Errors, and Warning Errors. Currently the compiler allows a maximum of five syntax errors before it terminates abnormally. Fatal errors are those that make it impossible for the compilation process to continue. As such the compiler terminates execution immediately after a fatal error is discovered; no count of fatal errors is kept. Warning errors are those that do not seriously affect the production of p-code. but may produce unpredictable results at run-time, or impede the debugging process by producing incorrect cross references. Although warning errors are detected and displayed, they are ignored by the compiler. A description of the standard error messages produced by the CPL compiler are listed in the following pages, along with hints to rectify the errors.

### 6.1. EATAL Errors

## UNOPNSRCFIL - Unable to open source file

The compiler was unable to open the source file, because of incorrect path specification or access violation. Restart compiler with correct path name, and check access protection for the file.

## UNOPNERRFIL - Unable to open errors database

The compiler was unable to open the file containing the compiler error messages. Consult the system administrator or the person in charge of maintaining the CPL system.

## UNOPNOUTFIL - Unable to create output file

The compiler was unable to create the output p-code file. Check the drive status if drive included in the path specification. Restart the compiler with the correct path name.

## EREADSRCFIL - Unable to read source file

The source program file contained some extraneous characters which the program could not decipher, or the compiler does not have read access for the file. Check the source file for any extraneous characters, and also check the group and world access protection for the file.

## PARSERERROR - Undefined action code

A bug in the CPL compiler. Consult the system administrator.

## UNOPNCMDFIL - Unable to open the command file

The compiler was unable to open a command file specified in the program. Check the name of the command file specified for spelling errors, and also check if the command file is present in the same directory as the input file.

## UNREAERRFIL - Unable to read from errors file

The compiler was unable to read the file containing the error messages. Consult the system administrator.

## UNRESERRPTR - Unable to reset error file pointer

The compiler is unable to reset the file pointer for the error file. Consult the system administrator.

### 6.2. SXNTAX Errors

## SEMICOLEXPT - Semicolon ; expected

A semicolon was not found where expected. Insert a semicolon at the end of the statement appearing on the line indicated by the line number in the error message.

## FUNCOPREXPT - Function operator expected

The function operator '.' was omitted in a procedure statement. Insert the function operator after the device name in the procedure statement appearing on the line indicated by the line number in the error message.

## UNDEFIDENTF - Undefined identifier

The identifier discovered was not declared previously in a declaration section. Enter a declaration for the identifier in the corresponding declaration section.

## IDENTIFEXPT - Identifier expected

The compiler was expecting to find an identifier, but could not find one. Include the necessary identifier in the statement appearing on the line indicated by the line number in the error message.

TYPADDREXPT - Type name or address expected
A port variable was not assigned an address or a serial port type. Insert a port address or serial port name in the statement appearing on the line indicated by the line number in the error message.

## INCORRSERPORT - An incorrect serial port was specified

An incorrect serial port was specified in the declaration of a serial port variable. Check the serial port name in the definition for predefined serial ports, and correct spelling errors. if any.

## INTEGEREXPT - Integer expected

An integer was not found where expected. Check program to locate actual error and make the necessary changes.

## INVALDEVTYP - Invalid device type

The device type specified in a device declaration is invalid. Check declaration for spelling errors, and change it to a known device type. If error persists even after correction, consult the system administrator.

## DEVTYPEXPT - Device type expected

The compiler was expecting a device type, but did not find one. Check the syntax and make necessary changes.

INVALIDFUNC - Invalid function name
The function name specified is not valid for the accompanying device type. Check the manual for permitted functions for the device type specified, and make the necessary changes.

## KEYWORDEXPT - Keyword expected

An was not found where expected. Check program to locate actual error and make the necessary changes. If error persists even after correction, consult the system administrator.

## PARITYMISMA - Parameters type mismatch

The parameter type specified does not match with the type expected.

## PORTKEYEXPT - expected keywords: Ports

The port declaration section did not begin with the keyword, Ports.

## DEVKEYWEXPT - expected keywords: Devices

The device declaration section did not begin with the keyword, Devices.

## PROCKEYEXPT - expected keywords: Ports

The port declaration section did not begin with the keyword, Procedure.

### 6.3. WARNING ERRORS

## UNOPNCRFILE - Unable to open cross reference file

The compiler was unable to create or open the cross-reference file. Check the drive status if drive included in the path specification. Restart the compiler with the correct path name. This error does not stop the generation of p -code in the output file, but does not produce useful debugging information.

BAUDNOTSPEC - Baud rate not specified

The baud rate for the serial port specification was not specified in the port declaration section. The compiler will assume the default baud rate which may not match the actual baud rate for the connected device.

STMTNOEFFEC - Statement has no effect in code
A procedure statement or program statement was discovered without any function name. Such a statement is meaningless, and does not produce any p-code.

UNOPNTRCFIL - Unable to open trace file
The compiler was unable to create or open the trace file, which is a temporary file used to output source code statements needed to send trace information to the interpreter. Check the drive status if drive included in the path specification. Restart the compiler with the correct path name. This error does not stop the generation of p-code in the output file, but does not produce useful debugging information.

## Appendix D

## I-Code Representation

Note: The italicized text represents the CPL statement whose I-code representation appears below.

| 11 | ComPort COM1: 300720 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9642400 |  |
| 11 | PortA 64256 Input |
| 1064256 input |  |
| 11 | PortB 64257 Output |
| 1064257 output |  |
| 11 | PortC 64258 Output |
| 1064258 output |  |
| 11 | LatheHandshake.Strobe |
| 76425701 |  |
| 11 | LatheG66inp.Strobe |
| 76425711 |  |
| 11 | Lathe.Do(loadlathe) |
| 8 COM1 | \% |
| 8 COM1 | $N^{\prime} G^{\prime} X^{\prime} \quad Z^{\prime} F^{\prime} H^{\prime}$ |
| 8 COM1 | 00M03 |
| 8 COM1 | $0100 \quad 00-7100$ |
| 8 COM1 | 0201-100 0080 |
| 8 COM1 | 0301-50-50 25 |
| 8 COM1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}04 & 01 & 00 & 500 & 25\end{array}$ |
| 8 COM1 | $0501 \quad 50 \quad 5025$ |
| 8 COM1 | $0600 \quad 100 \quad 00$ |
| 8 COM1 | $0700 \quad 006500$ |
| 8 COM1 | 08M05 |
| 8 COM1 | 09M00 |
| 8 COM1 | 10M30 |
| 8 COM1 |  |
| 11 | Robot.Send("NT") |
| 5 LPT1 NT |  |
| 11 | PalletStops.On |
| 1642580 |  |

```
11 Conveyor:On
16425851
11 PhotoCell.WaitOn
36425671
11 PalletStops.Off
26425800
11 PalletArrived.WaitOn
3642566 1
11 Delay.1000
6}100
11 PalletLifiUp.Strobe
742584 1
1 1 ~ P a l l e t L i f t e d . W a i t O n
3642565 1
11 Conveyor:Off
26425850
11 ChuckOpen.Strobe
76425811
1 1 \text { Robot.Do(loadpart)}
8 LPT1 MI -2400,-1600,800,1570,1390,0
8 LPT1 MI 0,-240,-540,225,-225,0
8 \text { LPT1 GC}
8 LPT1 MI 0,1020,-240,-55,55,0
8 LPT1 MI -7200,200,1000,0,0.0
8 \text { LPT1 MI 250,-1800,1700,0,0,0}
8 \text { LPT1 MI 20,-530,175.0.0,0}
8 \text { LPT1 MI -130,0,0,0,0,0}
11
    Delay.1000
61000
11 ChuckClose.Strobe
742583 1
11 Delay.2000
6000
11 Robot.Do(moveaway)
8 LPT1 GO
8 \text { LPT1 MI 130,0,0.0.0.0}
8 \text { LPT1 MI -20,530,-175,0.0,0}
8 LPT1 MI 0.2360,-2660.-1680,-1160,0
II
    Delay.2000
6000
11 LatheStart.Strobe
76425821
11 LatheStop.WaitOff
46425640
11 Robot.Do(MoveBack)
```

```
8 LPT1 MI -250,-560,960,1680,1160,0
8 LPT1 MI 250.-1800,1700,0,0,0
8 LPT1 MI 20,-530,175,0,0,0
8 LPT1 MI -130,0,0,0,0,0
8 LPT1 GC
11
Delay. }200
62000
11 ChuckOpen.Strobe
76425811
11 Delay. }200
62000
11 Robot.Do(GetPart)
8 LPT1 MI 130,0,0,0,0,0
8 LPT1 MI -20,530,-175,0,0,0
8 LPT1 MI -250,1800,-1700,0,0,0
8 LPT1 MI 7200,0,0,0,0,0
8 LPT1 MI 0,-1180,-760,55,-55,0
8 LPT1 GO
8 LPT1 MI 2300,1700,-160,-1695,-1265,0
8 LPT1 NT
11 PalletStops.On
1642580 1
11 PalletLiftDown.Strobe
76425861
11 Conveyor.On
16425851
11 Delay.500
6500
11 Conveyor:Off
26425850
11 PalletStops.Off
26425800
11 LatheStart.Strobe
7642582 1
11 LatheHandshake.Strobe
76425701
```


## Appendix E <br> Class Hierarchy for the Interpreter



## Appendix $\mathbf{F}$ <br> Code for CPL Interpreter

```
//---[ instruct.h
// Instruction class hierarchy
#ifndef INSTRUCT_H
#define INSTRUCT_H
#include < stdio.h>
#include < string.h>
#define SIZE 80
#define TRUE 1
#define FALSE 0
class instruction_t {
    int op_code;
    char name[21];
public:
    instruction_t(int op=0, char *n="Noop") { op_code = op; strcpy(name,n); }
    int isA(char *);
    char *nameOf(void);
    int op_codeOf(void);
    virtual int execute(void) = 0;
    virtual int numOfPIns(void){return(1);};
};
class cplSource : public instruction_t {
private:
            int pCodeInst;
            char "cplCode;
public:
            cplSource(int pCode, char *cplBuffer);
            int numOfPIns(void);
            int execute(void):
}:
class setBit_t : public instruction_t {
    unsigned int portid;
    int bit;
    int value;
public:
    setBit_t(FILE *fp);
    int execute(void);
};
```

```
class queryWait_t : public instruction_t {
    unsigned int portid;
    int bit:
    int value;
public:
    queryWait_t(FILE *fp);
    int execute(void);
};
class wait_t : public instruction_t {
    int millisec;
public:
    wait_t(FILE "fp);
    int execute(void);
};
class sendString_t : public instruction_t {
                    char port[16];
                    char text[80];
    static int flag:
public:
    sendString_t(FILE *fp);
    int execute(void);
};
class strobe_t : public instruction_t {
    unsigned int portid;
    int bit;
    int value;
public:
    strobe_t(FILE "fp);
    int execute(void);
}:
class commSetup: public instruction_t {
                    unsigned char baudRate;
                    unsigned char dataBits;
                    unsigned char stopBits:
                    unsigned char parity;
                int commPort:
public:
            commSetup(FILE *fp):
    int execute(void):
};
class dabSetup: public instruction_t {
            unsigned int portid;
```

```
                    char mode[10];
    static int portInitialized;
public:
            dabSetup(FILE *fp);
        int execute(void);
}:
```

\#endif

```
//--[ list.h ]
#ifndef LIST_H
#define LIST_H
#include "instruct.h"
//
// class definition for a list "selectable" objects.
//
// this is a generic list class - change the type names and reuse.
//
//
// Change this typedef to make the list operate on other types
//
typedef instruction_t * objptr_t;
struct entry_t { // doubly linked list entry
    objptr_t obj;
    struct entry_t "prev, *next;
    };
class list_t {
    public:
                    list_t(void);
                    ~ list_t(void);
                    void insert(objptr_t obj);
                    void append(objptr_t obj);
                    void remove(objptr_t obj);
                    int length(void);
                    objptr_t first(void);
                    objptr_t next(void);
                    objptr_t last(void);
                    objptr_t prev(void);
        private:
                    entry_t head, tail, "cursor:
                    int n_entries;
    };
#endif
// end of file
```

// FUNCTBL.H
\#ifndef FUNC_H
\#define FUNC_H
$/^{*}$ Function opcode definitions "/
\#define CON ..... 1
\#define COFF ..... 2
\#define CWAITON ..... 3
\#define CWAITOFF 4
\#define CSEND ..... 5
\#define CWAIT ..... 6
\#define CSTROBE ..... 7
\#define CDO ..... 8
\#define CCOMSETUP ..... 9\#define CDABSETUP 10\#endif

```
//-[ instruct.cpp ]
#include < stdio.h>
#include < dos.h>
#include < bios.h>
#include < string.h>
#include <conio.h>
#include "opcodes.h"
#include "instruct.h"
#include "list.h"
extern int trace, singleStep;
// Methods for instruction_t
int instruction_t:isA(char *n)
{
    if (strcmp("instruction_t",n) = = 0) return 1;
    else return 0;
}
char * instruction_t::nameOf(void)
{
    return(name);
}
int instruction_t::op_codeOf(void)
{
    return(op_code);
}
//Methods for cplSource
cpISource::cplSource(int pCode, char *cplBuffer) : instruction_t (CPL_COMMAND, "cpISource")
{
    pCodeInst = pCode;
    cplCode = new char[strlen(cplBuffer)+1];
    strncpy(cplCode, cplBuffer, strlen(cplBuffer)}+1)\mathrm{ :
}
int cpISource::numOfPIns(void)
{
        return(pCodeInst):
}
int cplSource::execute(void)
{
        if (trace)
```

```
    {
    clrscr();
    printf("%s", cplCode);
    delay(2000);
    }
    if (singleStep)
    {
    clrscr();
        printf("%s", cplCode);
printf("\nHit return to continue");
        getch();
        clrscr();
        printf("\nWait ...");
}
return(1);
}
// Methods for setBit_t
setBit_t::setBit_t(FILE *fp) : instruction_t (SET_BIT_ON, "setBit_t")
{
    fscanf(fp,"%u %d %d",&portid,&bit,&value);
}
int setBit_t::execute(void)
{
    unsigned char status = inportb(portid);
    unsigned char mask = 1 < < bit;
    if (value)
        outportb(portid, status|mask);
    else
        outportb(portid,status& ~ mask);
#ifdef VERBOSE
    printf("Executed setBit, port = %u, bit = %d, value = %d\n",
                portid,bit,value);
#endif
    return 1;
}
// Methods for wait_t
wait_t::wait_t(FILE *fp) : instruction_t (WAIT, "wait_t")
{
    fscanf(fp, "%d". &millisec);
}
```

```
wait_t::execute(void)
{
    delay(millisec);
    return 1;
}
// Methods for queryWait_t
queryWait_t::queryWait_t(FILE *fp)
{
    fscanf(fp, "%u %d %d", &portid,&bit,&value);
}
queryWait_t::execute(void)
{
    unsigned char result = inportb(portid);
    unsigned char mask = 1 < < bit;
    if( value == 1)
            while(!(mask & result)) result = inportb(portid);
    else
            while((mask & result)) result = inportb(portid);
    #ifdef VERBOSE
        printf("queryWait value = %d, bit = %d, port = %d\n",
                        value,bit,result);
    #endif
    return 1;
}
// Methods for sendString_t
sendString_t::sendString_t(FILE *fp)
{
    fscanf(fp, "%s", port);
    fgets(text, sizeof(text), fp);
}
sendString_t::execute(void)
{
    char *ip = text:
    int len;
        if (strcmp(port, "LPT1") ==0)
        {
            len = strlen(text);
```

```
                    text[len-1] = '\r';
                        text[len] = '\n';
                text[len+1] = '\0';
                    fprintf(stdprn,"%s",ip);
                }
                if (strcmp(port, "COM1") == 0)
                {
                // set RTS bit. CTS is automatically set on
                // the null modem.
                //outportb(0x3fc, 1);
                len = strlen(text);
                text[len-1] = '\r';
                text[len] = '\n';
                text[len +1] = '\0';
                // now down load the string contained in text
                for(int i=0; i < strlen(text); i+ +)
                {
                                    while (text[i] = = 0x40)
                                    i++;
                                    int mask = 1<< < ;
                                    int status = inportb(0\times3fd);
                                    // Is the transmitter holding
                                    register empty??
                                    while (!(status & mask))
                                    status = inportb(0x3fd):
                                    //outportb(0x3fe, 0);
                                    outportb(0x388, text[i]);
                    }
    }
    #fdef VERBOSE
        printf("sendString execute, sent %s\n",text);
    #endif
    return 1;
}
// Methods for strobe_t
strobe_t::strobe_t(FILE *fp) : instruction_t (STROBE, "strobe_t")
{
    fscanf(fp."%u %d %d",&portid,&bit,&value);
}
int strobe_t::execute(void)
{
```

```
    unsigned char status = inportb(portid);
    unsigned char mask = 1 < < bit;
    if (value)
    {
        outportb(portid, status/mask);
        delay(500);
        outportb(portid, status& ~ mask);
        delay(500);
    }
    else
    {
        outportb(portid, status& ~ mask):
        delay(500);
        outportb(portid, status|mask);
        delay(500);
    }
#fdef VERBOSE
    printf("Executed strobe, port =%u. bit = %d, value = %d\n",
                                    portid,bit.value);
#endif
    return 1:
}
// Methods for commSetup
commSetup::commSetup(FILE *fp) : instruction_t (COMM_SETUP, "commSetup")
{
    fscanf(fp,"%d %d %d %d %d",&baudRate,&dataBits,&stopBits, &parity, &commPort);
}
int commSetup::execute(void)
{
    char settings = baudRate|dataBits|stopBits|parity;
    bioscom(0, settings, commPort);
```


## \#ifdef VERBOSE

```
    printf("Executed commSetup, baudRate = %d, dataBits = %d, stopBits = %d, parity = %d,
commPort = %d\n",
                        baudRate,dataBits.stopBits, parity, commPort);
#endif
    return 1;
}
// Methods for dabSetup
```

```
dabSetup::dabSetup(FILE *fp) : instruction_t (DAB_SETUP, "dabSetup")
{
    fscanf(fp."%u %s",&portid,mode);
}
int dabSetup::execute(void)
{
/#**:***************************************************************
* IMPORTANT! The following piece of code sets up the
* Intel 8255A I/O chip with ports B, C for output
* and port A for input. Any changes or replacements done *
* in the future should corressspondingly be made here.
* Please consult the hardware manual if in doubt.
```



```
outportb(portid, 0):
if(!portInitialized)
\{
outportb(64259,144);
portInitialized \(=\) TRUE;
\}
\#ifdef VERBOSE
printf("Executed dabSetup, portid \(=\% u\), mode \(=\% s \backslash n "\), portid, mode \()\);
\#endif
return 1:
\}
```

// --- [ interprt.cpp ]

```
#include < stdio.h>
#include < dos.h>
#include < bios.h>
#include < string.h>
#include < conio.h>
#include "opcodes.h"
#include "instruct.h"
#include "list.h"
char cplBuffer[SIZE];
FILE "InputFile;
char *FileName;
int trace = FALSE:
int singleStep = FALSE;
int get_instructions(list_t *ilist, list_t *sourceList)
{
    instruction_t *iptr;
    int opcode, pCode;
    int FirstTime = FALSE;
    if((InputFile = fopen(FileName, "r")) = = NULL)
    {
            printf("\nInput file not found"):
            return(0);
    }
    while (fscanf(InputFile,"%d",&opcode) = = 1)
    {
                switch(opcode)
                {
                    case COMM_SETUP:
                    ilist-> append(new commSetup(InputFile));
                    pCode++;
                break;
            case DAB_SETUP:
                    ilist-> append(new dabSetup(InputFile));
                    pCode++;
                    break;
            case SET_BIT_ON:
            case SET_BIT_OFF:
                    ilist-> append(new setBit_t(InputFile));
                    pCode + +;
                    break;
```

```
                case QUERY_WAIT_ON:
                case QUERY_WAIT_OFF:
                ilist-> append(new queryWait_t(InputFile));
            pCode + +;
            break;
                case SEND_STRING:
                case DO:
            ilist-> append(new sendString_t(InputFile));
            pCode + +;
            break;
                case WAIT:
            ilist-> append(new wait_t(InputFile));
            pCode + +;
            break;
                case STROBE:
            ilist-> append(new strobe_t(InputFile));
            pCode++;
            break;
                case CPL_COMMAND:
                if(FirstTime)
                {
                    fgets(cplBuffer, SIZE, InputFile);
                        pCode = 0;
            FirstTime = FALSE;
                    break;
                    }
                sourceList-> append(new cplSource(pCode, cplBuffer));
                    pCode = 0;
                    fgets(cplBuffer, SIZE, InputFile);
                break;
            default:
            printf("Undefined opcode %d\n",opcode);
            }
    }
    // append the last piece of source code.
    sourceList-> append(new cpISource(pCode, cplBuffer));
    return 1;
}
int execute_instructions(list_t "ilist. list_t "sourceList)
{
    instruction_t *iptr = ilist-> first();
    instruction_t "sourcePtr = sourceList-> first();
    while (iptr ! = NULL) {
            if(trace|singleStep)
```

```
            sourcePtr-> execute();
            for(int i=0; i < sourcePtr-> numOfPIns(); i+ +)
        {
            if(!iptr-> execute())
            return(0);
            iptr = ilist-> next();
            }
            sourcePtr = sourceList-> next();
    }
    return 1;
}
main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int status;
    list_t *ilist = new list_t;
    list_t "sourceList = new list_t;
    FileName = new char[strlen(argv[1])+3];
    strcpy(FileName, "s:\0");
    switch(argc)
    {
            case 1:
                printf("Standard usage: interprt [/debug] < file_name > \n");
                    return(0);
            case 2:
                strncat(FileName, argv[1], strlen(argv[1])+1);
                    break;
            case 3:
            if ( strcmpi(argv[1], "-t\0") = = 0)
                        trace = TRUE;
                            else
            if ( strcmpi(argv[1], "-s\0") = = 0)
                                    singleStep = TRUE;
                            else
                        {
                                    printf("\nunknown option");
                                    return(0):
            }
                strncat(FileName, argv[2], strlen(argv[2])+1);
                break;
    }
    if (get_instructions(ilist, sourceList))
            status = execute_instructions(ilist, sourceList);
            if (!status)
```

```
{
            clrscr();
            printf("\naborting at users request...\n");
                    return(0);
}
return 0;
}
```

```
//--[ list.cpp ]
//
// Member functions for the list class. This list class has the
// property that elements are always added at the head. This list
// only contains objects of class "selectable".
//
#include < stdio.h>
#include "list.h"
list_t::list_t(void) {
    head.obj = (objptr_t )NULL;
    head.next = &tail;
    head.prev = (entry_t *)NULL;
    tail.obj = (objptr_t )NULL;
    tail.prev = &head;
    tail.next = (entry_t *)NULL;
    cursor = &head;
    n_entries = 0;
    return;
    }
list_t:: ~ list_t(void) {
    entry_t *temp;
    cursor = head.next;
    while (cursor != &tail) {
        temp = cursor;
        cursor = cursor-> next;
        delete temp;
        }
    return;
    }
void list_t::insert( objptr_t obj) {
    cursor = head.next;
    head.next = new entry_t;
    head.next->obj = obj;
    head.next-> next = cursor;
    head.next-> prev = &head;
    cursor-> prev = head.next;
```

```
    n_entries + + ;
    return;
    }
void list_t::append(objptr_t obj) {
    cursor = tail.prev;
    tail.prev = new entry_t;
    tail.prev-> obj = obj;
    tail.prev-> prev = cursor;
    tail.prev-> next = &tail;
    cursor-> next = tail.prev;
    n_entries + +;
    return;
    }
void list_t::remove( objptr_t obj) {
    int deleted = 0;
    cursor = head.next;
    while (cursor != &tail && !deleted) {
        if (cursor-> obj = = obj) {
        cursor-> prev-> next = cursor-> next;
        cursor-> next-> prev = cursor-> prev;
        cursor->obj = (objptr_t )NULL;
        delete cursor;
        deleted = 1;
        n_entries--;
        }
        else {
        cursor = cursor-> next;
        }
    }
    return;
    }
objptr_t list_t::first(void) {
    cursor = head.next;
    return cursor-> obj;
    }
objptr_t list_t::next(void) {
```

```
    if (cursor != &tail) cursor = cursor-> next;
    return cursor-> obj;
    }
objptr_t list_t::last(void) {
    cursor = tail.prev;
    return cursor->obj:
    }
objptr_t list_t::prev(void) {
    if (cursor ! = & head) cursor = cursor-> prev;
    return cursor- > obj;
    }
int list_t::length(void) {
    return n_entries;
    }
// end of file
```
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*amtude <stक+m,h>
*कmelude setring.t%
*arelume setdinm,h%
```



```
Winclude " " voeadvtrane.h"
#imelude "* "temdystmts.f"
#mmlude "**bemd\tablern"
```





```
##tern tmblem =ytbu
#%tern 13=t t* prom%tw%
Emtern tbltype functel:
#t巨%m int mumpert:y
Evtern ergoy to Ee%
```

A methode for tramstate obicet


TYPE: memter fummtion
FUWGTOME Begne to perae port dewametion statements wher the keymord

is inctantioted and inested inte the Eymbel tabie.
THWU FARAWETEFS: veid
GUPUT FARMETEFS: None
FETUKN VALUES:
vaid
SERQTCED FUNCITONS: main


pott taymet tet
tokent. tay Next
token to AEytype
token. tar Limenm

<
int resut $=0$

prints ("Par=e Portavn")
Femol

(t-wkeytype() $==$ KFTFTS)
if (tresult) $\Rightarrow$ if het genermte empor
<


FT) ${ }^{2}$

7
E15
t
$/ f$ get al port dew mratione


/f mabe pott enty into eymbel table

3

サewurn(tesult)!
3

$7 \times$ NME: transiete twnarsemevices
TYEE member tumetion

DEvTGE $=$ Encountered. The patsing $i=$ continued by the device object when
At i $w$ inetmntiated and incetted into the symbel thble.

THPUT FARAMETERE: void

OHTHY FARMETEFEn Name
FETUFD vALUES: void


t. thetent tw

GEvice, tanevice
m


```
int trmasuate tmpersegevames(vono)
&
        imt remutt=0
*iकde% vEFEOEE
```



```
#encis
```



```
                    (t->>eytype() == kDEvTCES)
    if (!remut) / if if mot gemerate error
            &
```




```
FTy)
            3
            E1=e
        / get al devime meclavatimme
```



```
                        /A make devime emtry into the symbol tmble
```



```
    Metum%(result):
3
```


\% WhyEn tranclate twamsempocedure
TVE: Ti Es monstrumtor
FUNCTMW EEGine to parse procedure ctetemente when the keyword
FGOCEDUE $s=$ encountered. The patsimg is contmued by the proceduye object
when it is inctantieted anc ineerfer imte the symbel tatic.
MHUT PARAHETEFS void
OUTEUT FARAMETERE: Nome
RETUM vAUES: int
SERVTCED FWNCTTONE: man

Procedure tonpro
cedure tathen th
token tom wext
token t... skeytype
tokem ty bine mu
$m$

Fect
Frimt
$\%$


```
                                    */
int tranclatmytypermefrocedure(vaid)
<
    met Fegult =% %
#Frdef vermose
    primt& ("##rem Frocedurevm"):
*emodif
if (!&E% (pp))
&
DuรE
```



```
DUKE
    (tw)&Eytype() === EFROCEDLFE))
    if (imesult) // if mot generste Empor
    &
```




```
FG世EYEXPT):
```



```
                    3
                    #15%
                            /7 get ell port decummeden=
    <
            &->कलबक"ume()
```



```
D) )
                                A/ make port entry intem Eymbeh table
                                p%ocFtr-sappend(new promedure t(t))
        7
            3
```



```
            fClese(tar )
```



```
7
```



```
F% Whm: translate w:-generate
TVFE: member fumetiom
```



```
The p-rode i m muput inta a tevt thue, whirh eerves as input to the
3nterpmeter,
TWUT FकFAMETEFS: vaid
MMFUT FAEMMETEFS: NOME
FETUR vALUES: int
EFQTCED FUNOTTONE: manN
EEFvTCTNE FUNCTIONS: In m tenfig=t
                                    A!twtymym

Towt
\(=t r \mathrm{Ep}\)
\%q\%et

Fprints
primter
*

int tramelate ty generatervad)
!
FHEHFP

cham =endetrrmaxstra, directionstrmaxsth]
wbiptr \(t\) mode:

imt 1 mm
cher madtinermox

tathe
```

            poytyw pty /% port type poimter
    ```

Wh for verecem print ("Genermae code vn's:
क्ment


\(\theta\) Exten=icn of 'met'

E

e


\(\stackrel{\square}{4}\)

1)
\(\beta\) Fembet for imentifiey in myman teble
if (ips = =ythwhetsymontiv) != Nuht
\(\%\)

世2= KFPFTS:

=witet (pt-rget type ())
\&
mas ADDFएTRT:
switen ipt rget


FUT: stremy(dimectionstr: "MPUT:) break שawe kOL
 defmut :
break
／／dipection ms m 5tring bremb


brembs
detautt：
Eremb：
3
bremes
detent ：
mremb 3
？
\(?\)

\section*{} mhit ticces ！＝Wult）

4

1）

\(\varepsilon\)
СЕ玉を COH：

 break！
Cess CofF


breat？
case mbat Tm，


bremar
世EEE CWATTOF：

 Wremb：




```

                                    Fp|inte(fp, "%ल %m %"s"%
                                    3
                                    F#|#E(mp)
                                    %
                                    ##5
    ```

```

                    3
                        LEFEut+Em
                            3
    ```

```

                    3
        F
        Ey=
    ```


```

        3
        Folose {trp):
            f#### (fp)
        3
        E=%
    ```

```

                EE-\checkerrors(FATML T) %
                Feturm(o)
        3
        fC|E=(FP)
        yctum% {D):
    3

```

```

        TYEE: member fumetim
    ```

```

        Gressre4:"buinu FCH more intommetuon.
            WWUT FARAMETERS: VCIM
    ```
GUTHT FAFMETEFE: VOE
FETUN VALUES: ..... int
CALED WUOTTONE: ..... E
buile int int
primt ..... Ehar* \(\operatorname{sht}\) int
di mplay ..... charm
कALImG Funct TONE mex
ant transume ..... 
```\&
```

typeref int morerrinosy

©C.butidial, i2): ..... /i buide mose rereremces

```
#amenude <Etdic.b%
Wimcume < Etwamgns
Wancluce <statim,h%
```




```
e
    <
```




```
                        checheryors(FATM, T);
            3
            ##E
    &
                    Ery cmumt =% O
                        warm coumt = O
                        tot emurt =0%
                        Erronimit = 5
        F
3
```



```
    CHEm ersetrmalENTy
    char mevatwrm|LENT:
    Char * megetr; wcodestre
    Chm%% F%
```



```
    %
        whtle (!fewt(ep))
```




```
                        if (erp ewd= == =!)
                                ( Strrev(Erretr)%
```




```
                        =trrev(errabq)
                                metum(en(Etr)%
                                3
    3
    615%
    &
```

```
    7
        3 / end ef while
        3
        e\me
        &
```



```
            checkermor=\mAM, T):
    3
    Fmturn (Wuluy:
3
```



```
&
        mwtwtyty%
        <
            ##E ERFORT:
                            efr countw4y
                            tot count = Erm count t mwrmeoumt:
                            if <tct count > err uimits
```



```
t_ooumt):
4count!
coumt)s
    ##it(--2)%
    bremb
detmult
    bremb:
    3
3
```


[^0]:    $<$ cell_name $>.<$ procedure_name $>[(<$ condition $>\mid<$ repetition_times $>)]$;

